UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                   | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/571,475                        | 02/12/2007                 | Jess Koehler         | Q93635              | 7567             |
| 23373<br>SUGHRUE MI               | 7590 03/13/200<br>ON, PLLC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.    |                            |                      | RIDDLE, CHRISTINA A |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20037 |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                                   |                            |                      | 4175                |                  |
|                                   |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                                   |                            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                                   |                            |                      | 03/13/2009          | PAPER            |

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/571,475  | KOEHLER ET AL.               |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |  |
|  | Christina Riddle  | 4175                         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan  | ice except for formal matters, pro  | secution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>47,49-66 and 68-91</u> is/are pending in the application.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>47,49-66 and 68-91</u> are subject to res   | triction and/or election requireme  | nt.                          |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.      |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) Interview Summary  |                              |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application   |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |                              |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 47, 49-66, 68-82, 87-91, drawn to drawn to illumination system for a microlithography projection, classified in class 355, subclass 53.
- II. Claim 83-86, drawn to a method of making an illumination system for a microlithography projection, classified in class 428, subclass 28.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions Group I, drawn to illumination system for a microlithography projection and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case in the instant case a fixed reticle which is not controllable could be used. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Application/Control Number: 10/571,475 Page 3

Art Unit: 4175

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,475

Art Unit: 4175

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Page 4

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

#### If group I is elected the following species restriction applies.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species pertaining to an illumination system for a microlithography projection exposure system for illuminating an illumination field with light from a primary light source having:

- I. A reflective modulation system(claims 47, 53-59, 61, 63-66, 80);
- II. Variable focal optical system(claims 47, 49, 50, 51);
- III. Axicon optical system(claims 47, 49, 50, 52);
- IV. A fly eye condenser(claims 47, 71-79);
- V. The optical device is a telescope lens(claims 47, 68);

Art Unit: 4175

VI. The optical device is a diffractive optical array(claims 47, 69, 70);

VII A raster near the pupil shaping surface(claims 47,81);

VIII. No lenses between the modulator and pupil(claims 87, 88);

VIIII. The mirrors are different sizes(claims 47, 56, 62, 89);

X. The mirrors change shape (47, 56, 60, 90).

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 47, 49, 50, 56 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Application/Control Number: 10/571,475

Art Unit: 4175

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 6

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

#### Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/571,475

Art Unit: 4175

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Riddle whose telephone number is (571)270-7538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday 7:00-17:30 EST.

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kent Chang can be reached on (571)272-7667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 4175

/Timothy J Thompson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873